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Parasitic egg rejection decisions of chalk-browed mockingbirds *Mimus saturninus* are independent of clutch composition
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Abstract

Obligate avian brood parasites lay their eggs in nests of other host species, which assume all the costs of parental care for the foreign eggs and chicks. The most common defensive response to parasitism is the rejection of foreign eggs by hosts. Different cognitive mechanisms and decision-making rules may guide both egg recognition and rejection behaviors. Classical optimization models generally assume that decisions are based on the absolute properties of the options (i.e., absolute valuation). Increasing evidence shows instead that hosts’ rejection decisions also depend on the context in which options are presented (i.e., context-dependent valuation). Here we study whether the chalk-browed mockingbird’s (*Mimus saturninus*) rejection of parasitic shiny cowbird (*Molothrus bonariensis*) eggs is a fixed behavior or varies with the context of the clutch. We tested three possible context-dependent mechanisms: (1) range effect, (2) habituation to variation, and (3) sensitization to variation. We found that mockingbird rejection of parasitic eggs does not change according to the characteristics of the other eggs in the nest. Thus, rejection decisions may exclusively depend on the objective characteristics of the eggs, meaning that the threshold of acceptance or rejection of a foreign egg is context-independent in this system.
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Introduction

Obligate avian brood parasites lay their eggs in nests of other host species, which provide all parental care for the foreign eggs and chicks thereafter (Davies 2000). Brood parasitism exerts strong evolutionary selective pressures on hosts, and rejection of the foreign egg has evolved as the most common response to avian brood parasitism (Davies 2000; Krüger 2007; Stoddard and Hauber 2017).

Different cognitive mechanisms and decision-making rules may guide both egg recognition and rejection behaviors in host species. One possibility is that rejection relies on the comparison among the objective properties of the eggs such as coloration pattern, size, and shape (Rothstein 1982; Nakamura et al. 1998; Langmore and Spottiswoode 2012). This cognitive process has been termed the “template-based recognition mechanism” (Hauber and Low 2014), whereby the template can be innate, imprinted (Rothstein 1974), or progressively learned (Moksnes 1992; Lotem et al. 1995; Moskát et al. 2014a), and defines the relevant objective dimensions to be compared. Rejection decisions are independent of the context and occur when the rejection threshold is exceeded (Hauber et al. 2006). However, increasing evidence from several host species (e.g., Polacikova et al. 2013; Moskát et al. 2014b) shows that egg rejection decisions are not solely dependent on the objective properties of the options but also on the manner in which the options are presented (“frame”), on the presence of other options, and/or on changes in the motivational state of the individual (compare Tversky and Simonson 1993; Kahneman and Tversky 2003; Pompilio et al. 2006). The hypothesis of context-dependent valuation assumes that the decision to accept or reject an egg depends on the variability of the other eggs in the clutch, and is therefore flexible (Moskát et al. 2010).

The chalk-browed mockingbird (*Mimus saturninus*; hereafter mockingbird) is a partial rejecter of the polymorphic...
eggs laid by the parasitic shiny cowbird (*Molothrus bonariensis*; hereafter cowbird). Cowbirds are generalist brood parasites that lay eggs with variable eggshell patterns, ranging from white to light greenish and brownish backgrounds and from immaculate to heavily spotted maculation patterns (Mahler et al. 2008). Mockingbirds only reject immaculate white eggs, while they accept all spotted ones (Fraga 1985; Sackmann and Reboreda 2003). Previously we have shown that the cognitive rules underlying mockingbird rejection behavior can be explained by a decision-making model in which the level of rejection is inversely related to the number of relevant attributes shared between host and parasite eggs, i.e., presence of spotting, low brightness, and no UV reflectance (de la Colina et al. 2012). Here we analyzed whether this multi-cue decision-making process depends exclusively on the intrinsic characteristics of the foreign egg (as proposed by the hypothesis of absolute valuation) or, instead, depends on the characteristics of the other eggs in the clutch (as proposed by the hypothesis of context-dependent valuation).

We tested three possible cognitive mechanisms for context-dependent valuation. The “range effect hypothesis” predicts that when the magnitude of the differences in a relevant attribute among options increases, differences between initial options are perceived as smaller (Parducci 1965, 1974). Thus, the presence of eggs which extend the range of differences in the clutch may relax the acceptance threshold, increasing the probability of accepting a foreign egg. On the other hand, mockingbirds’ evaluation mechanisms might be affected by non-associative learning (Groves and Thompson 1970). Egg variability (i.e., the number of different eggs) would be used as a measure of parasitism intensity (multiple parasitism: Bán et al. 2013; Stevens et al. 2013), increasing the likelihood of accepting (“habituation to variation hypothesis”) or rejecting (“sensitization to variation hypothesis”) parasitic eggs.

**Materials and methods**

**Study site and nests**

Experiments were carried out at Reserva El Destino, near the town of Magdalena in the Province of Buenos Aires, Argentina (35°08′S, 57°23′W), during the southern breeding season of 2009–2010 (October–January). In an area of 580 ha, we searched for mockingbird breeding pairs and captured and individually color-banded 26 breeding pairs within their territory. Mockingbirds build open nests, egg laying usually begins within 3 days from nest completion, and the modal clutch size is four eggs (range 3–5; Fraga 1985). The natural parasitism rate of mockingbird nests in this area is 61%, with an intensity of 2.2 parasitic eggs per nest (de la Colina et al. 2016).

**Experiments**

To test whether mockingbirds change rejection decisions with clutch context, we designed eggs to modify both the range and variability of the clutch (Table 1, Fig. 1A). Each treatment consisted of the addition of a pair of cowbird-sized model eggs (24.9 mm × 18.8 mm; de la Colina et al. 2011), molded from plaster of paris, to the host’s clutch (Fig. 1A). Experimental eggs were differentially colored with non-toxic acrylic paint. All treatments contained a brown egg, the focal egg, which generates “intermediate” rejection responses close to 50% (de la Colina et al. 2012) and allows measurement of changes in the threshold of acceptance/rejection (Røskaft et al. 2002). A different experimental egg was added along with the focal egg in each of the three treatments (Fig. 1A), modifying the range, i.e., the magnitude of differences (narrow/wide), and the variability, i.e., the number of eggs perceived as different (low/high), of the clutch, which predicts different changes in rejection of the focal eggs.

**Table 1** Predicted responses of mockingbird rejection of the focal experimental egg according to four different hypothetical cognitive mechanisms (see text). An intermediate response corresponds to 50% rejection found previously (de la Colina et al. 2012). The features of the clutch under manipulation between treatments are range (i.e., magnitude of the difference among eggs in the clutch) and variability (i.e., number of different egg types in each clutch).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesized cognitive mechanism</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Variability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute valuation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context-dependent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range effect</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habituation to variation</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensibility to variation</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>Higher</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
egg according to the cognitive mechanism (Table 1). Treatment narrow/low: spotted egg (0% rejection, de la Colina et al. 2012; Fig. 1a), treatment wide/high: white egg (73% rejection, de la Colina et al. 2012; Fig. 1b), and treatment narrow/high: spotted brown egg (Fig. 1c). We estimate that rejection of this experimental egg falls within the range of acceptance between the focal egg and the spotted egg, as it has both attributes that increase the probability of being accepted (spots and low brightness).

Each breeding pair was exposed to all treatments sequentially within the same reproductive attempt after the host’s second egg was laid. The design was balanced by experimental egg pair, so as to achieve the same number of breeding pairs exposed to a different sequence of treatment order. The clutch size was fixed at four eggs during the entire experiment; additional mockingbird or cowbird eggs were removed daily. Upon completion of each experiment, host eggs were returned to their nests. Each pair of experimental eggs was left in the nest for 24 h, the period of time when rejection occurs (de la Colina et al. 2012). Rejection was recorded and accepted experimental eggs were removed and replaced by the ones included in the following treatment. Abandoned nests ($N = 3$) were excluded from the analysis since it was not possible to determine whether the abandonment was a response to treatment or due to natural causes (Hauber et al. 2014). Four nests were excluded because they were victims of natural parasitism during the 3-day experimental protocol, leaving 19 nests for the analyses.

**Statistical analysis**

Generalized (logistic) linear mixed-effect models (GLMM) were used to assess the relationship between explanatory variables and rejection events using a binary response
Rejection frequencies were different between experimental eggs in all treatments (Fig. 1B): (1) spotted—focal brown egg ($P < 0.001$); (2) white—focal brown egg ($P = 0.01$); and (3) spotted brown egg—focal brown egg ($P < 0.001$). For each type of experimental egg, rejection was similar to those reported in previous, single-egg experimental studies (de la Colina et al. 2012): spotted $0\%$ and white $82\%$. The spotted brown egg fell within the expected low rejection range ($4\%$). About half of the breeding pairs rejected the focal brown egg in all treatments ($52\%$–$56\%$).

Rejection responses to the focal brown egg were consistent in 14 nests ($74\%$, 9 breeding pairs always rejected the focal experimental egg, while 5 breeding pairs accepted it under all treatments). For the 5 nests that changed rejection behavior with treatment, there was no general pattern of change in the response.

$\Delta$AIC values were higher than 2, indicating that no model explained rejection better than the null model (Table 2). Neither the interaction ($P = 0.28$) nor both terms (order $P = 0.91$; treatment $P = 0.87$) were significant. The power of the two-factor analysis was 0.71.

### Discussion

Rejection behavior of parasite eggs in mockingbirds did not change according to the characteristics of the other eggs in the nest, thus supporting the hypothesis of absolute valuation in this host’s egg rejection decisions. This implies that, unlike in some other host species (Móskař et al. 2014b; Yang et al. 2015), decisions depend exclusively on the objective characteristics of the eggs and that the threshold of acceptance or rejection of a particular type of parasitic egg is independent of the clutch context. A context-independent threshold maximizes the rejection of foreign eggs and minimizes the error of rejecting own eggs. Since parasitic eggs do not impose a severe fitness cost to mockingbirds’ breeding success (Sackmann and Reboreda 2003), it is expected that evolutionary selective pressures will favor a cognitive mechanism which minimizes the rejection of own eggs, as we found. Only white immaculate cowbird eggs are rejected by mockingbirds, based on a mechanism that relies on several cues to detect a foreign egg (de la Colina et al. 2012). It has been also shown that parasitic eggs in the nest decrease the probability of egg puncture by other parasitizing cowbird females (Gloag et al. 2012), although white eggs make nests more conspicuous and prone to predation (de Mársico et al. 2016). Therefore, rejection of these eggs would be favorable for nest survival in general.

Additionally, a context-independent threshold might be an advantageous mechanism in cases of multiple parasitism with polymorphic parasite eggs. Indeed, multiple parasitism is found in 61% of the nests in this area (de la Colina et al. 2016), which can receive up to 11 cowbird eggs (Gloag et al. 2012). In this scenario, rejection would be very unpredictable if it relied on a context-dependent mechanism.

We showed that the cognitive basis of egg rejection decisions in mockingbirds is insensitive to changes in variability (number of eggs perceived as different) and magnitude of differences (range) among eggs in a clutch, as was found in the American robins (Turdus migratorius; Croston and Huber 2015). These results are therefore compatible with the idea that this host compares each egg in the clutch against a template and rejects dissimilar eggs.
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